CHALOS, O'CONNOR & DUFFY, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 366 Main Street Port Washington, New York 11050

Eugene J. O'Connor (EO-9925) Timothy Semenoro (TS-6847)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COSCO BULK CARRIER CO. LTD.

Tel: (516) 767-3600 / Fax: (516) 767-3605

Plaintiff,

٧.

PCL SHIPPING PTE LTD.,

Defendant.

Plaintiff COSCO BULK CARRIER CO. LTD. (hereinafter "COSBULK") by its attorneys, as and for its Verified Complaint against the Defendant PCL SHIPPING PTE LTD. (hereinafter "PCL"), alleges upon information and belief as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and also falls under this Court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333.

THE PARTIES

- 2. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff COSBULK was and still is a foreign business entity duly organized and existing pursuant to the laws of a foreign country with an office and principal place of business in China.
- 3. The plaintiff is engaged in business as an owner, or disponent owner, of oceangoing vessels by which cargo is transported in exchange for payments of hire or freight.

- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant PCL was and still is a foreign business entity duly organized and existing pursuant to the laws of a foreign country with offices at 1, Kim Seng Promenade, # 07-02, Great World City, West Tower, Singapore 237994 and 424 St. Kilda Road Level 3, Melbourne, Australia.
 - 5. The defendant is a charterer of ocean-going vessels.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF MARITIME CONTRACT

- 6. On or about January 9, 2008, PCL, as charterer, entered into a maritime contract with COSBULK, as disponent owner, whereby COSBULK's vessel, the MV MARATHA PROVIDENCE, was hired to carry cargo between Xingang, China, and Vancouver, Canada.
- 7. This contract between COSBULK and PCL is a maritime contract in the form of a Fixture Recap, dated January 9, 2008, and Time Charter Party terms incorporated by reference (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "maritime contract").
- 8. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of this maritime contract, the parties agreed to, among other things, that the vessel was suitable for the fixture, that hire payments were to be made every fifteen (15) days, and that all disputes arising under this maritime contract would be subject to English law and resolved by arbitration in London.
- 9. Although the subject vessel did load, transport and discharge cargo in accordance with the terms of the maritime contract, PCL has claimed that the vessel underperformed and has improperly withheld from its payment of hire the total sum of US \$381,261.68.
- 10. Upon information and belief, the MV MARATHA PROVIDENCE did in fact perform in accordance with the terms of the maritime contract, PCL has made an unsupported

Case 1:08-cv-03561-VM

and/or overstated claim and, therefore, PCL has improperly withheld hire in breach of the maritime contract.

- 11. Although duly demanded, PCL has failed to pay any amount of the US \$381,261.68 of hire that is now due and owing to COSBULK, all in breach of the maritime contract.
- 12. As previously indicated above, the maritime contract provides that any disputes arising under said contract are subject to resolution by arbitration in London, England, and to be determined under English Law, none of which is deemed waived, and, in accordance with the terms of the maritime contract, COSBULK will demand arbitration, nominate an arbitrator and obtain a schedule for the submission of claims in London.
- 13. Furthermore, the award of fees and costs is allowed under English law and is regularly awarded in London arbitration regarding maritime matters such as the subject dispute. The estimated allowable fees and costs for the arbitration of COSBULK' claim against PCL is approximately USD\$190,000.00.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 14. Notwithstanding the fact that the liability of PCL is subject to a determination by an arbitration panel in London, there are now, or will be during the pendency of this action, certain assets, accounts, freights, hire payments, monies, charter hire, credits, effects, CHIPS credits, electronic fund transfers, payments for bunkers, goods or services, bills of lading, cargo, debts and the like belonging to or claimed by the Defendant within this District and held by various parties, as garnishees.
- 15. Plaintiff believes that some of these assets, in bank accounts and/or as funds being transferred through intermediary banks, are located in this District in the possession of

3

Page 4 of 7

Case 1:08-cv-03561-VM

Wachovia Bank, CHIPS, and possibly other banks or financial institutions located in New York.

- 16. As set forth in the accompanying declaration of Timothy Semenoro, the Defendant cannot be found within this District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 17. Because this Verified Complaint sets forth an *in personam* maritime claim against the Defendant and because the Defendant cannot be found within this District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the requirements for a Rule B attachment and garnishment are met and Plaintiff seeks the issuance of process of maritime attachment so that it may obtain security for its claims against the Defendant and/or quasi in rem jurisdiction over the property of the Defendant so that an eventual judgment and/or award can be satisfied.
- 18. In addition to an attachment in the full amount of the claim as set forth above, Plaintiff also seeks an attachment over an additional sum to cover awardable attorneys' fees and costs which are recoverable pursuant to English law in the London arbitration.
- 19. Plaintiff's aggregate claim against the Defendant amounts to US \$571,261.68 which is comprised of the underlying claim of US \$381,261.68 for damages plus estimated awardable interest, costs, and fees.

4

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

A. That the Defendant be summoned to appear and answer this Verified Complaint;

B. That the Defendant not being found within this District, as set forth in the Declaration of Timothy Semenoro, then all of its assets, accounts, freights, monies, charter hire, credits, effects, payment for bunkers, goods or services, bills of lading, cargo and the like belonging to or claimed by the Defendant within this District up to the amount sued for herein be

C. That this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter through the entry of a judgment either by this Court, and/or the London arbitration panel, so that judgment may be entered in favor of Plaintiff for the amount of its claim with costs, i.e. US \$571,261.68, and that a judgment of condemnation and sale be entered against the property arrested and attached herein in the amount of Plaintiff's claim, plus costs to be paid out of the proceeds thereof; and

D. That Plaintiff has such other and further relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: Port Washington, New York April 14, 2008

CHALOS, O'CONNOR & DUFFY, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:

attached pursuant to Supplemental Rule B and to pay Plaintiff's damages;

Eugene J. O'Connor (EO-9925) Timothy Semenoro (TS-6847)

366 Main Street

Port Washington, New York 11050

Tel: (516) 767-3600 / Fax: (516) 767-3605

CHALOS, O'CONNOR & DUFFY, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 366 Main Street Port Washington, New York 11050 Tel: (516) 767-3600 / Fax: (516) 767-3605 Eugene J. O'Connor (EO-9925) Timothy Semenoro (TS-6847)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
COSCO BULK CARRIER CO. LTD.	×

Plaintiff,

08 CV _____

v.

PCL SHIPPING PTE LTD.,

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT

I	Defendant.	
	X	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, TIMOTHY SEMENORO, Esq., declares under the penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am associated with the law firm of Chalos, O'Connor & Duffy, attorneys for the Plaintiff COSCO BULK CARRIER CO. LTD. herein;
 - 2. I have read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof; and
- 3. I believe the matters to be true based on documents and information obtained from employees and representatives of the Plaintiff through its underwriters and attorneys. The reason that this verification was made by deponent and not by the Plaintiff is because Plaintiff is a foreign corporation, whose officers are not in this district, and whose verification cannot be obtained within the time constraints presented by the circumstances of this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Port Washington, New York April 14, 2008

CHALOS, O'CONNOR & DUFFY, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:

Timothy Semenoro (TS-6847)

366 Main Street

Port Washington, New York 11050

Tel: (516) 767-3600 / Fax: (516) 767-3605